

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LILLIAN MARIE MAEZ,

Plaintiff,

v.

TOMMY J. MAEZ, II, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 1:22-cv-00901-BAM

**ORDER REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S
SECOND APPLICATION TO PROCEED
WITHOUT PREPAYMENT OF FEES OR
COSTS**

(Doc. 5)

Plaintiff Lillian Marie Maez is proceeding pro se in this action. On July 27, 2022, pursuant to this Court's order, Plaintiff filed a request for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*, and submitted the affidavit required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). (Doc. 3.) The request to proceed *in forma pauperis* was granted on July 29, 2022.¹ (Doc. 4.)

On August 5, 2022, Plaintiff filed a second request for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* (Doc. 5). Plaintiff's second affidavit has made the showing required by section 1915(a). Given that Plaintiff's initial request to proceed *in forma pauperis* was granted, the Court finds Plaintiff's second request redundant and unnecessary. The request is therefore DISREGARDED. Plaintiff continues to proceed *in forma pauperis* in this action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 8, 2022

/s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

¹ The Court also screened Plaintiff's complaint and granted her leave to amend within thirty (30) days. (Doc. 4.)